## Case 1:19-cv-00902-AJN-SLC Document 14 Filed 02/06/19 Page 1-06/12



420 Lexington Avenue, Suite 840 New York, New York 10170

(347) 286-7409 www.mccalla.com CONNECTICUT NEVADA

FLORIDA NEW JERSEY

GEORGIA NEW YORK

ILLINOIS MISSISSIPPI

February 6, 2019

## Via CM/ECF

Honorable Henry B. Pitman, U.S.M.J. United States District Court Southern District of New York 500 Pearl St. New York, NY 10007-1312

Re: Francis, et al v. Accubanc Mortgage Corporation, et al Case No. 19-cv-902-AJN-HJP

Request to Stay Time to Respond to Complaint

## Dear Judge Pitman:

This firm represents Defendants, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (incorrectly named herein as "J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, N.A.") ("Chase"); EMC Mortgage LLC [incorrectly named herein as "EMC Mortgage LLC successor by merger with EMC Mortgage Corporation (f/k/a "EMC Mortgage Corporation"); (f/k/a former subsidiary of JPMorgan Chase Bank, NA,) (f/k/a "Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc.")] ("EMC"); and Federal National Mortgage Association (incorrectly named herein as "Fannie Mae; Fannie Mae Trustee for Securitized Trust; and Fannie Mae Guaranteed REMIC Pass-Through Certificates 1995-16 Trust #250183) ("Fannie Mae," and, collectively with Chase and EMC, the "Removal Defendants").

This case was commenced with the filing of a Complaint by Plaintiffs, Michael Francis ("Mr. Francis") and Carmen J. Francis (together with Mr. Francis, the "Plaintiffs") in the Supreme Court of New York, New York County, on December 17, 2018. (ECF No. 1). The Removal Defendants timely removed the case to this Court on January 30, 2019. (ECF No. 1). Because the Removal Defendants had not yet responded to the Complaint at the time of removal, their time to respond to the Complaint presently runs through today, February 6, 2019. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 81(c)(2).

On February 1, 2019, the Honorable Alison J. Nathan, U.S.D.J., issued an Order directing the Removal Defendants to "show cause why removal of this action is not precluded by the forum defendant rule" by February 15, 2019, with Plaintiffs' opposition due on or before March 1, 2019. (ECF No. 12). In light of the pending Order to Show Cause, the Removal Defendants respectfully request that their time to respond to the Complaint be stayed and/or extended to thirty (30) days after the Court enters an Order resolving the question of subject matter jurisdiction.

## Case 1:19-cv-00902-AJN-SLC Document 14 Filed 02/06/19 Page APADA



CALIFORNIA MISSISSIPPI
CONNECTICUT NEVADA
FLORIDA NEW JERSEY
GEORGIA NEW YORK

**ILLINOIS** 

420 Lexington Avenue, Suite 840 New York, New York 10170 (347) 286-7409

www.mccalla.com

In support of this request, and pursuant to Section 1(E) of Your Honor's published Individual Rules of Practice, the Removal Defendants state as follows:

- 1. Original deadline: February 6, 2019
- 2. Number of previous extension requests: None
- 3. Whether previous requests were granted or denied: N/A
- 4. Whether adversary consents and, if not, why: On February 5, 2019, the undersigned reached out via email to Mr. Francis, one of the pro se plaintiffs, explaining the request and seeking consent. As of this filing, no response has been received from Mr. Francis.

Thank you for your consideration of this request.

Respectfully submitted, McCalla Raymer Leibert Pierce, LLC

Richard P. Haber

cc: Michael Francis and Carmen J. Francis (via email to Michael Francis and regular mail) Jason Turken, Esq. (via email)